



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,243	07/15/2003	Hans Jacobsen	JACO0002	8919

7590 11/30/2007
LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON
Suite 507
600-108th Avenue N.E.
Bellevue, WA 98004

EXAMINER

SUHOL, DMITRY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3725

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

11/30/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/620,243

Applicant(s)

JACOBSEN, HANS

Examiner

Dmitry Suhol

Art Unit

3725

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dmitry Suhol.

(3) _____.

(2) Michael King.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.


Identification of prior art discussed: Takahashi et al '766 and Kimura et al '334.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


DMITRY SUHOL
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed elements of election/restriction requirement as it pertains to Markush terminology laid out in section 803.02 of the MPEP. Discussed the differences between Kimura and applicants invention specifically being that applicants invention is directed to a device for sheet metal deformation while Kimura is directed to the deformation of piping or tubing, applicants representative indicated that amended claim language will be submitted to clarify the above distinction. Also discussed differences and motivation to combine the teachings of Takahashi '766 and Kimura, examiner indicated that reasoning for the combination can be found in the Kimura reference and that the recent KSR decision further supports the rationale for making an obvious type rejection as simple substitution of one element for another in similar products would yield predictable results.